

Extension of the Weil-Petersson connection*

Scott A. Wolpert

September 16, 2007

Abstract

Convexity properties of Weil-Petersson geodesics on the Teichmüller space of punctured Riemann surfaces are investigated. A normal form is presented for the Weil-Petersson Levi-Civita connection for pinched hyperbolic metrics. The normal form is used to establish approximation of geodesics in boundary spaces. Considerations are combined to establish convexity along Weil-Petersson geodesics of the functions the distance between horocycles for a hyperbolic metric.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{T} be the Teichmüller space of marked genus g , n punctured Riemann surfaces with hyperbolic metrics. Associated to hyperbolic metrics on Riemann surfaces are quantities on Teichmüller space: the Weil-Petersson (WP) metric, the geodesic-length functions and for $n > 0$ the functions with values the distances between unit-length horocycles. A fundamental property of geodesic-length functions is their convexity along WP geodesics. We now generalize the property and show that the distance between horocycles is also convex along WP geodesics. In particular we show for surfaces with punctures that the distance between horocycles and WP geodesics are suitably approximated by geodesic-lengths and WP geodesics for surfaces without punctures. The convexity of the distance between horocycles provides new information on the behavior of WP geodesics.

There are applications of the convexity of geodesic-length functions. The convexity and properness for a set of *filling* geodesic-lengths provides for a solution of the Nielsen realization problem and provides that with the WP metric \mathcal{T} is a convex space [Wol87]. The convexity and refined forms of Masur's expansion are the essential ingredients in showing that the augmented

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary: 32G15; Secondary: 20H10, 30F60.

Teichmüller space is $CAT(0)$ with all strata convex [DW03, Wol03, Yam01]. The convexity also provides for the convexity of Bers regions, an important consideration in establishing Brock's quasi isometry between \mathcal{T} and the pants graph [Bro03].

The decorated Teichmüller space \mathcal{D} for surfaces with cusps is a principal \mathbb{R}_+^n -bundle over \mathcal{T} [Pen87, Pen04]. Penner continues his investigation of the mapping class group invariant geometry of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{T} . Mapping class group invariant cell decompositions are obtained from decompositions of hyperbolic surfaces involving disjoint collections of simple geodesics between cusps. The cells are indexed by ribbon graphs (fatgraphs) and the decompositions are considerations for several celebrated results. Maximal collections of disjoint simple geodesics between cusps also give rise to global coordinates. Considerations begin with Penner's *lambda length* parameter, the root exponential distance between horocycles $\lambda = (2e^\delta)^{1/2}$ [Pen87, Pen04]. The description of \mathcal{T} , the WP symplectic form, and the action of mapping classes is particularly straightforward. Most recently McShane and Penner investigate the degeneration of hyperbolic metrics and determine which geodesic-lengths can be small in a given cell [MP07]. Also recently Mondello considers the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_b of hyperbolic surfaces with geodesic boundaries and investigates the distance between boundaries as a generalization of the distance between horocycles. He finds that the WP Poisson geometry of \mathcal{T}_b limits to a Poisson geometry of \mathcal{T} [Mon07].

Masur first considered the expansion of the WP metric for surfaces with short geodesics (pinched surfaces) [Mas76]. Refined expansions for the metric are presented in [Wol03, Wol56]. An expansion for the WP Levi-Civita connection is required for a detailed understanding of WP geodesics for surfaces with short geodesics. In Section 4 we develop and present such an expansion in Theorem 4.6. The expansion is a normal form in terms of the short geodesic-length gradients $\{\text{grad } \ell_\alpha^{1/2}\}_{\alpha \in \sigma}$ and a relative length basis $\{\text{grad } \ell_\beta\}$ for the remaining gradients of geodesic-lengths. To properly analyze surfaces with short geodesic-lengths the augmented Teichmüller space $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, a partial compactification, is introduced. The geometry in a neighborhood of a boundary space $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) = \{\ell_\alpha = 0 \mid \alpha \in \sigma\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of marked degenerate hyperbolic metrics is considered. In Section 5 we develop and present an appropriate C^1 -approximation of geodesics in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ by geodesics in \mathcal{T} with $\ell_\alpha, \alpha \in \sigma$, small. The approximation is presented for a geodesic $\gamma(t)$ with the initial values $\ell_\alpha(\gamma(0)), \langle \text{grad } \ell_\alpha^{1/2}, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle, \langle J \text{grad } \ell_\alpha^{1/2}, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle, \alpha \in \sigma$ all small and J the almost complex structure. From an expansion for the Hessian of ℓ_α and Theorem 5.2 the geodesic-lengths ℓ_α on $\gamma(t)$ are C^2 -almost constant.

There are geodesics nearly parallel to the boundary spaces of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.

Distance between horocycles is also described in terms of lengths of closed geodesics for a degenerating family of hyperbolic metrics and degenerating collar widths $2w(\alpha)$. For a hyperbolic geodesic ω_0 between cusps p, q for a surface R_0 we introduce the double across the cusps $R_0 \cup_{p,q} \overline{R_0}$ and open the cusps p, q to obtain an approximating surface R with an approximating closed geodesic ω . The closed geodesic ω consists of segments in the thick regions of R and segments crossing the collars $\mathcal{C}(p)$ and $\mathcal{C}(q)$. The length $\ell_\omega - 2w(p) - 2w(q)$ of ω with the collar widths subtracted approximates twice the distance $2\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ between horocycles. We use the description of the distance $\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ in terms of closed geodesics and collar widths to establish the main result in Theorem 6.1. Our approach suggests that the convexity of ℓ_ω approximates the convexity of ℓ_{ω_0} .

The discussion is arranged as follows. Certain aspects of hyperbolic geometry are reviewed in Section 2. Formulas for the WP gradient and Hessian of geodesic-length are presented in Section 3. A normal form for the WP metric and covariant derivative are developed in Section 4. The boundary spaces and approximation of geodesics of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is described in Section 5 and the main result is developed in Section 6.

The author thanks Yair Minsky for raising the question of convexity of the distance between horocycles.

2 Collars, cusp regions and the mean value estimate

A Riemann surface with hyperbolic metric can be considered as the union of a *thick* region where the injectivity radius is bounded below by a positive constant and a complementary *thin* region. The totality of all *thick* regions of Riemann surfaces of a given topological type forms a compact set of metric spaces in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. A *thin* region is a disjoint union of collar and cusp regions. We describe basic properties of collars and cusp regions including bounds for the injectivity radius and separation of simple geodesics.

We follow Buser's presentation [Bus92, Chap. 4]. For a geodesic α of length ℓ_α on a Riemann surface the collar about the geodesic is $\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \{d(p, \alpha) \leq w(\alpha)\}$ for the width $w(\alpha)$, $\sinh w(\alpha) \sinh \ell_\alpha / 2 = 1$. The width is given as $w(\alpha) = \log 4 / \ell_\alpha + O(\ell_\alpha^2)$ for ℓ_α small. For \mathbb{H} the upper half plane with hyperbolic distance $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ a collar is covered by the region $\{d(z, i\mathbb{R}^+) \leq w(\alpha)\} \subset \mathbb{H}$ with deck transformations generated by $z \rightarrow e^{\ell_\alpha} z$. The quotient

$\{d(z, i\mathbb{R}^+) \leq w(\alpha)\}/\langle z \rightarrow e^{\ell_\alpha} z \rangle$ embeds into the Riemann surface. For z in \mathbb{H} the prescribed region is approximately $\{\ell_\alpha/2 \leq \arg z \leq \pi - \ell_\alpha/2\}$. A cusp region \mathcal{C}_∞ is covered by the region $\{\Im z \geq 1/2\} \subset \mathbb{H}$ with deck transformations generated by $z \rightarrow z + 1$. The quotient $\{\Im z \geq 1/2\}/\langle z \rightarrow z + 1 \rangle$ embeds into the Riemann surface. The boundary of a collar $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ for ℓ_α bounded and boundary of a cusp region \mathcal{C}_∞ have length approximately 2.

Theorem 2.1. *For a Riemann surface of genus g with n punctures given pairwise disjoint simple closed geodesics $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ there exist simple closed geodesics $\alpha_{m+1}, \dots, \alpha_{3g-3+n}$ such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{3g-3+n}$ are pairwise disjoint. The collars $\mathcal{C}(\alpha_j)$ about α_j , $1 \leq j \leq 3g - 3 + n$, and the cusp regions are mutually pairwise disjoint.*

On *thin* the injectivity radius is bounded below in terms of the distance into a collar or cusp region. For a point p of a collar or cusp region of a Riemann surface write $\text{inj}(p)$ for the injectivity radius and $\delta(p)$ for the distance to the boundary of the collar or cusp region. The injectivity radius is bounded as follows, [Wol92, II, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.2. *The product $\text{inj}(p) e^{\delta(p)}$ of injectivity radius and exponential distance to the boundary is bounded below by a positive constant.*

The standard consideration for simple closed geodesics and cusp regions generalizes as follows, [Wol56, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.3. *A simple closed geodesic is disjoint from the cusp regions. A simple closed geodesic is either disjoint from a collar or crosses the collar or is the core geodesic.*

We use the following mean value estimate for functions on the upper half plane with hyperbolic area element dA , [Fay77].

Lemma 2.4. *Harmonic Beltrami differentials and the exponential-distance function $e^{-2d(p, \tilde{\alpha})}$ for a geodesic $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfy a mean value estimate on \mathbb{H} with constant determined by the radius of the ball. A non negative function f satisfying a mean value estimate on \mathbb{H} and a subset of a discrete group $\mathcal{G} \subset \Gamma$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} f(A(p)) \leq c \text{inj}(p)^{-1} \int_{\cup_{A \in \mathcal{G}} A(\mathbf{B}(p, \epsilon))} f dA$$

with constant determined by the mean value constant.

3 The gradient and Hessian of geodesic-length

We review properties of the gradient and Hessian of geodesic-length as presented in [Wol56]. The reader should consult the reference for proofs and further applications.

Points of the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T} are equivalence classes $\{(R, ds^2, f)\}$ of marked complete hyperbolic structures with reference homeomorphisms $f : F \rightarrow R$ from a base surface F . Basic invariants of a hyperbolic metric are the lengths of the unique closed geodesic representatives of the non peripheral free homotopy classes. For the non peripheral free homotopy class α on F the length of the geodesic representative for $f(\alpha)$ is the value of the geodesic-length ℓ_α at the marked structure. For R with uniformization representation $f_* : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \Gamma \subset PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ and α corresponding to the conjugacy class of an element A then $\cosh \ell_\alpha/2 = \text{tr} A/2$. Collections of geodesic-lengths provide local coordinates for \mathcal{T} , [Bus92, IT92, Wol82].

From Kodaira-Spencer deformation theory the infinitesimal deformations of a surface R are represented by the Beltrami differentials $\mathcal{H}(R)$ harmonic with respect to the hyperbolic metric, [Ahl61]. Also the cotangent space of \mathcal{T} at R is $Q(R)$ the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials with at most simple poles at the punctures of R . The holomorphic tangent-cotangent pairing is

$$(\mu, \varphi) = \int_R \mu \varphi$$

for $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $\varphi \in Q(R)$. Elements of $\mathcal{H}(R)$ are symmetric tensors given as $\overline{\varphi}(ds^2)^{-1}$ for $\varphi \in Q(R)$ and ds^2 the hyperbolic metric. The Weil-Petersson (WP) Hermitian metric and cometric are given as

$$\langle \mu, \nu \rangle_{Herm} = \int_R \mu \overline{\nu} dA \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{Herm} = \int_R \varphi \overline{\psi} (ds^2)^{-1}$$

for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $\varphi, \psi \in Q(R)$ and dA the hyperbolic area element. The WP Riemannian metric is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \Re \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{Herm}$. The WP metric is Kähler, non complete, with non pinched negative sectional curvature and determines a $CAT(0)$ geometry, see [Ahl61, Huaar, Nag88, Tro92, Wol03] for references and background.

For a closed geodesic α conjugate the group Γ for the geodesic to correspond to the deck transformation $A : z \rightarrow e^{\ell_\alpha} z$ with imaginary axis $\tilde{\alpha}$ and for p in \mathbb{H} with coordinate z consider the pair of coset sums

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha(p) = \sum_{B \in \langle A \rangle \backslash \Gamma} e^{-2d(B(p), \tilde{\alpha})} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_\alpha = \sum_{B \in \langle A \rangle \backslash \Gamma} B^* \left(\frac{dz}{z} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

for $\langle A \rangle$ the cyclic group generated by A . For \mathcal{F} a Γ fundamental domain an unfolding

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{B \in \langle A \rangle \backslash \Gamma} f \circ B dA = \sum_{B \in \langle A \rangle \backslash \Gamma} \int_{B(\mathcal{F})} f dA = \int_{\mathbb{H}/\langle A \rangle} f dA$$

provides that $\overline{\Theta}_\alpha(ds^2)^{-1}$ and \mathbb{P}_α are bounded in L^1 norm by a multiple of ℓ_α and by Lemma 2.4 that the coset sums are convergent. The WP gradient of geodesic-length is $\text{grad } \ell_\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \overline{\Theta}_\alpha(ds^2)^{-1}$ with the infinitesimal Fenchel-Nielsen right-twist deformation given as $t_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} J \text{grad } \ell_\alpha$ for J the almost complex structure of \mathcal{T} , [Gar75, Wol82]. We combined the formula of Riera for pairing geodesic-length gradients with an analysis of the orbit of a discrete group to develop an expansion for the pairing, [Wol56].

Theorem 3.1. *The WP pairing of gradients for disjoint geodesics α, β satisfies*

$$\langle \text{grad } \ell_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \ell_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} + O(\ell_\alpha^2 \ell_\beta^2)$$

where for c_0 positive the constant for the positive remainder term is uniform for $\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta \leq c_0$.

The Fenchel-Nielsen twist deformation and geodesic-length are related by duality in the WP Kähler form and satisfy

$$\langle \text{grad } \ell_\alpha, J \text{grad } \ell_\beta \rangle = -2 \sum_{p \in \alpha \cap \beta} \cos \theta_p \quad (2)$$

for the sum over transverse intersections. The sum vanishes if the geodesics are disjoint or coincide. For the coordinate z of \mathbb{H} given in polar form $re^{i\theta}$ the hyperbolic distance to the imaginary axis satisfies $e^{-2d(z, i\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \sin^2 \theta \leq 4e^{-2d(z, i\mathbb{R}^+)}$. The inequalities $|B^*(\frac{dz}{z})^2(ds^2)^{-1}| \leq 4e^{-2d(B, \tilde{\alpha})}$ and $|\Theta_\alpha(ds^2)^{-1}| \leq 4\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ are consequences.

We review the description of the WP Hessian of geodesic-length, [Wol56]. It is natural to introduce a model for the hyperbolic plane presenting the transformation $z \rightarrow \lambda z$ as a translation. The horizontal strip $\mathbb{S} = \{\zeta \mid 0 < \Im \zeta < \pi\}$ is a suitable model. The upper half plane and horizontal strip are related by the map $\zeta = \log z$. We consider for a Beltrami differential $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ and t small the family of qc homeomorphisms g^t of \mathbb{S} satisfying $g_\zeta^t = t\mu g_\zeta^t$ and conjugating the translation $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \ell$ to a translation $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \ell^t$, [AB60]. We have from the translation equivariance the equation

$$g^t(\zeta + \ell) = g^t(\zeta) + \ell^t.$$

The derivatives of geodesic-length are given for the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{S} \mid 0 \leq \Re \zeta < \ell\}$ and deformation vector field $\dot{g} = \frac{d}{dt}g^t|_{t=0}$ as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *For $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ with deformation vector field \dot{g} then*

$$\dot{\ell} = \frac{2}{\pi} \Re \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mu dE \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{\ell} = \frac{4}{\pi} \Re \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mu \dot{g}_{\zeta} dE$$

for dE the Euclidean area element.

The series \mathbb{P}_{α} serves as a weight function to bound the convexity of geodesic-length functions, [Wol56].

Theorem 3.3. *The Hessian of geodesic-length is bounded in terms of the weight function \mathbb{P}_{α} for the WP pairing*

$$\langle \mu, \mu \mathbb{P}_{\alpha} \rangle \leq 3\pi \text{Hess } \ell_{\alpha}[\mu, \mu] \leq 48 \langle \mu, \mu \mathbb{P}_{\alpha} \rangle$$

for $\mu \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$.

The root geodesic-length function $\ell_{\alpha}^{1/2}$ is also convex with positive definite Hessian. We review the basic expansion for the WP connection D and the root geodesic-length gradient $\lambda_{\alpha} = \text{grad } \ell_{\alpha}^{1/2}$, [Wol56]. The Riemannian Hessian is directly related to covariant differentiation $\text{Hess } h(U, V) = \langle D_U \text{grad } h, V \rangle$ for vector fields U, V , [O'N83].

Theorem 3.4. *The WP connection D for the root geodesic-length gradient λ_{α} satisfies*

$$D_U \lambda_{\alpha} = 3\ell_{\alpha}^{-1/2} \langle J\lambda_{\alpha}, U \rangle J\lambda_{\alpha} + O(\ell_{\alpha}^{3/2} \|U\|)$$

in terms of the WP norm $\| \cdot \|$ where for c_0 positive the remainder term constant is uniform for $\ell_{\alpha} \leq c_0$.

4 Continuity of the WP pairing and connection

The WP completion is the augmented Teichmüller space $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. The space $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is described in terms of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates and in terms of the Chabauty topology for $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ representations. The strata of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ correspond to collections of *vanishing lengths* and describe Riemann surfaces with nodes in the sense of Bers, [Ber74]. We present a local frame for the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{T}$ in terms of gradients of geodesic-length functions for a neighborhood of a stratum point. The behavior of the WP pairing for the

frame is presented in Lemma 4.2 and of the WP connection for the frame is presented in Theorem 4.6.

The points of the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T} are equivalence classes $\{(R, f)\}$ of surfaces with reference homeomorphisms $f : F \rightarrow R$. The *complex of curves* $C(F)$ is defined as follows. The vertices of $C(F)$ are the free homotopy classes of homotopically nontrivial, non peripheral, simple closed curves on F . An edge of the complex consists of a pair of homotopy classes of disjoint simple closed curves. A k -simplex consists of $k + 1$ homotopy classes of mutually disjoint simple closed curves. A maximal set of mutually disjoint simple closed curves, a *partition*, has $3g - 3 + n$ elements. The mapping class group Mod acts on the complex $C(F)$.

The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates for \mathcal{T} are given in terms of geodesic-lengths and lengths of auxiliary geodesic segments, [Abi80, Bus92, IT92]. A partition $\mathcal{P} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{3g-3+n}\}$ decomposes the reference surface F into $2g - 2 + n$ components, each homeomorphic to a sphere with a combination of three discs or points removed. A marked Riemann surface (R, f) is likewise decomposed into pants by the geodesics representing the elements of \mathcal{P} . Each component pants, relative to its hyperbolic metric, has a combination of three geodesic boundaries and cusps. For each component pants the shortest geodesic segments connecting boundaries determine designated points on each boundary. For each geodesic α in the pants decomposition of R a parameter τ_α is defined as the displacement along the geodesic between designated points, one for each side of the geodesic. For marked Riemann surfaces close to an initial reference marked Riemann surface, the displacement τ_α is the distance between the designated points; in general the displacement is the analytic continuation (the lifting) of the distance measurement. For α in \mathcal{P} define the *Fenchel-Nielsen angle* by $\vartheta_\alpha = 2\pi\tau_\alpha/\ell_\alpha$. The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates for Teichmüller space for the decomposition \mathcal{P} are $(\ell_{\alpha_1}, \vartheta_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \ell_{\alpha_{3g-3+n}}, \vartheta_{\alpha_{3g-3+n}})$. The coordinates provide a real analytic equivalence of \mathcal{T} to $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})^{3g-3+n}$, [Abi80, Bus92, IT92].

A partial compactification of Teichmüller space is introduced by extending the range of the Fenchel-Nielsen parameters. The added points correspond to unions of hyperbolic surfaces with formal pairings of cusps. The interpretation of *length vanishing* is the key ingredient. For an ℓ_α equal to zero, the angle ϑ_α is not defined and in place of the geodesic for α there appears a pair of cusps; the reference map f is now a homeomorphism of $F - \alpha$ to a union of hyperbolic surfaces (curves parallel to α map to loops encircling the cusps). The parameter space for a pair $(\ell_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha)$ will be the identification space $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}/\{(0, y) \sim (0, y')\}$. More generally for the pants decomposition \mathcal{P} a frontier set $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}$ is added to the Teichmüller space by extending the

Fenchel-Nielsen parameter ranges: for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, extend the range of ℓ_α to include the value 0, with ϑ_α not defined for $\ell_\alpha = 0$. The points of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}$ parameterize unions of Riemann surfaces with each $\ell_\alpha = 0, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, specifying a pair of cusps. The points of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}$ are Riemann surfaces with nodes in the sense of Bers, [Ber74]. For a simplex $\sigma \subset \mathcal{P}$, define the σ -null stratum, a subset of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}$, as $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) = \{R \mid \ell_\alpha(R) = 0 \text{ iff } \alpha \in \sigma\}$. Null strata are given as products of lower dimensional Teichmüller spaces. The frontier set $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}$ is the union of the σ -null strata for the sub simplices of \mathcal{P} . Neighborhood bases for points of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}$ are specified by the condition that for each simplex $\sigma \subset \mathcal{P}$ the projection $((\ell_\beta, \vartheta_\beta), \ell_\alpha) : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \rightarrow \prod_{\beta \notin \sigma} (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \times \prod_{\alpha \in \sigma} (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ is continuous. For a simplex σ contained in partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' the specified neighborhood systems for $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ are equivalent. The *augmented Teichmüller space* $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T} \cup_{\sigma \in C(F)} \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is the resulting stratified topological space, [Abi77, Ber74]. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is not locally compact since points of the frontier do not have relatively compact neighborhoods; the neighborhood bases are unrestricted in the ϑ_α parameters for α a σ -null. The action of Mod on \mathcal{T} extends to an action by homeomorphisms on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ (the action on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is not properly discontinuous) and the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{T}}/Mod$ is topologically the compactified moduli space of stable curves, [Abi77, see Math. Rev.56 #679].

We present an alternate description of the frontier points in terms of representations of groups and the Chabauty topology. A Riemann surface with punctures and hyperbolic metric is uniformized by a cofinite subgroup $\Gamma \subset PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$. A puncture corresponds to the Γ -conjugacy class of a maximal parabolic subgroup. In general a Riemann surface with punctures corresponds to the $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ conjugacy class of a tuple $(\Gamma, \langle \Gamma_{01} \rangle, \dots, \langle \Gamma_{0n} \rangle)$ where $\langle \Gamma_{0j} \rangle$ are the maximal parabolic classes and a labeling for punctures is a labeling for conjugacy classes. A *Riemann surface with nodes* R' is a finite collection of $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ conjugacy classes of tuples $(\Gamma^*, \langle \Gamma_{01}^* \rangle, \dots, \langle \Gamma_{0n^*}^* \rangle)$ with a formal pairing of certain maximal parabolic classes. The conjugacy class of a tuple is called a *part* of R' . The unpaired maximal parabolic classes are the punctures of R' and the genus of R' is defined by the relation $Total\ area = 2\pi(2g - 2 + n)$. A cofinite $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ injective representation of the fundamental group of a surface is topologically allowable provided peripheral elements correspond to peripheral elements. A point of the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T} is given by the $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ conjugacy class of a topologically allowable injective cofinite representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \Gamma \subset PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$. For a simplex σ a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is given by a collection $\{(\Gamma^*, \langle \Gamma_{01}^* \rangle, \dots, \langle \Gamma_{0n^*}^* \rangle)\}$ of tuples with: a bijection between σ and the paired maximal parabolic classes; a bijection between the components

$\{F_j\}$ of $F - \sigma$ and the conjugacy classes of parts $(\Gamma^j, \langle \Gamma_{01}^j \rangle, \dots, \langle \Gamma_{0nj}^j \rangle)$ and the $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ conjugacy classes of topologically allowable isomorphisms $\pi_1(F_j) \rightarrow \Gamma^j$, [Abi77, Ber74]. We are interested in geodesic-lengths for a sequence of points of \mathcal{T} converging to a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$. The convergence of hyperbolic metrics provides that for closed curves of F disjoint from σ geodesic-lengths converge, while closed curves with essential σ intersections have geodesic-lengths tending to infinity, [Ber74, Wol90].

We refer to the Chabauty topology to describe the convergence for the $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ representations. Chabauty introduced a topology for the space of discrete subgroups of a locally compact group, [Cha50]. A neighborhood of $\Gamma \subset PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ is specified by a neighborhood U of the identity in $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ and a compact subset $K \subset PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$. A discrete group Γ' is in the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma, U, K)$ provided $\Gamma' \cap K \subseteq \Gamma U$ and $\Gamma \cap K \subseteq \Gamma' U$. The sets $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma, U, K)$ provide a neighborhood basis for the topology. We consider a sequence of points of \mathcal{T} converging to a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ corresponding to $\{(\Gamma^*, \langle \Gamma_{01}^* \rangle, \dots, \langle \Gamma_{0n^*}^* \rangle)\}$. Important for the present considerations is the following property. Given a sequence of points of \mathcal{T} converging to a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ and a component F_j of $F - \sigma$ there exist $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ conjugations such that restricted to $\pi_1(F_j)$ the corresponding representations converge element wise to $\pi_1(F_j) \rightarrow \Gamma^j$, [Har74, Thrm. 2].

We now consider the WP geometry of geodesic-length functions in a neighborhood of an augmentation point p of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$. The null stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is metrically characterized as the union of all WP distance-realizing paths containing p as an interior point. For the following considerations we refer to the elements of σ as the *short geodesics*. We are interested in collections of geodesic-length gradients providing a frame for the tangent bundle in a neighborhood of p .

Definition 4.1. *A relative length basis for a point p of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is a collection τ of vertices of $C(F)$ disjoint from the elements of σ such that at p the gradients $\{\text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\beta \in \tau}$ provide the germ of a frame over \mathbb{R} for the tangent space $T\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$.*

Examples of relative length bases are given as the union of a partition and a dual partition for $R - \sigma$, see [Bus92, Chap. 3 Secs. 3 & 4], [MM00, *markings* in Sec. 2.5] and [Wol82, Thrm. 3.4]. The lengths of the elements of a relative length basis are necessarily bounded on a neighborhood in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. We consider below for a geodesic γ the root geodesic-length $\ell_\gamma^{1/2}$ and gradient $\lambda_\gamma = \text{grad } \ell_\gamma^{1/2}$. We introduce the convention that on $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ the pairing $\langle \text{grad } \ell_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta \rangle$ vanishes for geodesics α, β on distinct components (parts) of a Riemann surface with nodes.

Lemma 4.2. *The WP pairing of geodesic-length gradients $\langle \text{grad } \ell_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta \rangle$ is continuous in a neighborhood of a point p of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ for α and β disjoint from the simplex σ . The matrix P of WP pairings for a combined short and relative length basis $\{\lambda_\alpha, J\lambda_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\alpha \in \sigma, \beta \in \tau}$ determines a germ at p of a Lipschitz map $P(q) = P(p) + O(d_{WP}(q, p))$ from $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ into a real general linear group $GL(\mathbb{R})$.*

We now consider the geometry of the frames provided by combined short and relative length bases. Lemma 4.2 provides the structure for a (Lipschitz) extension of the tangent bundle of \mathcal{T} over the stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ of (formal) codimension $2|\sigma|$. The extension is a bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of rank $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}$. We note from Theorem 3.1 and formula (2) that the projections of the gradients $\{\text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\beta \in \tau}$ onto the span of $\{\lambda_\alpha, J\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \sigma}$ have length bounded as $O(\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} \ell_\alpha^{3/2})$. The span of the first set of vectors is almost the orthogonal complement of the second set of vectors. We now show with the following considerations that the WP connection almost splits near $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ for the pair of subspaces.

We consider L^∞ supremum norm bounds for Beltrami differentials as an ingredient to bound the connection. For a geodesic α we conjugate the group Γ for the geodesic to correspond to the deck transformation $A : z \rightarrow e^{\ell_\alpha} z$ with imaginary axis $\tilde{\alpha}$ and consider the coset sums (1). The transformation A has fundamental domain $\mathcal{F} = \{1 \leq |z| < e^{\ell_\alpha}\}$ with general collar $\mathcal{C}_a = \{1 \leq |z| < e^{\ell_\alpha}, a \leq \arg z \leq \pi - a\}$ and collar exterior $\mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{C}_a$. The associated distance from $\arg z = a$ to the axis $\tilde{\alpha}$ of A is $\log \csc a + |\cot a|$. The collar Theorem 2.1 provides for ℓ_α small that the collar of approximate width $\log 4/\ell_\alpha$ embeds into the quotient \mathbb{H}/Γ ; the collar $\mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$ embeds into the quotient.

Lemma 4.3. *The Beltrami differentials for gradients of simple geodesic-lengths are bounded as follows. For a short geodesic α with lift the imaginary axis the supremum of $\text{grad } \ell_\alpha - \frac{2}{\pi} \overline{\left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^2} (ds^2)^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$ and of $\text{grad } \ell_\alpha$ on the complement of $\mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$ in \mathbb{H}/Γ are bounded as $O(\ell_\alpha^2)$. For a simple geodesic β disjoint from the short geodesics the gradient $\text{grad } \ell_\beta$ is supremum bounded as $O(\ell_\beta)$. The remainder term constants depend only on the constant for short geodesic length.*

Proof. We follow the approach of [Wol56, Lemma 3.13] and [Wol92]. We bound the coset sums in L^1 and then combine the mean value estimate with the relation between injectivity radius and exponential distance for a pointwise bound.

The main matter is to understand the contribution of a collar complement in \mathbb{H} . We consider the collar exterior integral $\int_{\mathcal{E}_a} |\frac{dz}{z}|^2 = 2a\ell_\alpha$ and use the formula for distance to $\tilde{\alpha}$ to give the bound $ce^{-d(\tilde{\alpha}, \partial\mathcal{E}_a)}\ell_\alpha$ for the integral for a small. We then apply the mean value estimate for the sum $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{\{B\}} \overline{B^*(\frac{dz}{z})^2}(ds^2)^{-1}$ for summand points $\{B(p)\}$ contained in the collar exterior \mathcal{E}_a to find the bound $|\mathcal{S}(p)| \leq c \operatorname{inj}(p)^{-1} e^{-d(\tilde{\alpha}, \partial\mathcal{E}_a)}\ell_\alpha$. Lemma 2.2 will provide a bound for the injectivity radius. The estimate for the gradient is reduced to considering $d(\tilde{\alpha}, \{B(p)\})$.

We first consider a short geodesic by taking $\{B\} = \langle A \rangle \setminus \Gamma - \langle A \rangle$ and a point $p \in \mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$. The points $\{B(p)\}$ are contained in $\mathcal{E}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$ and a curve from a $B(p)$ to the imaginary axis $\tilde{\alpha}$ projects to \mathbb{H}/Γ to a curve that at least leaves the collar and re enters the collar to connect to the core geodesic. The distance $d(B(p), \tilde{\alpha})$ is at least the sum of the distance to the collar boundary and the collar width $\log 4/\ell_\alpha$. The resulting bounds provide the first $O(\ell_\alpha^2)$ estimate. We next consider $p \in \mathcal{E}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$ with $\operatorname{inj}(p) \geq c_0 > 0$. The injectivity radius bound provides that $\{B(p)\}$ is contained within a fixed neighborhood of $\mathcal{E}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$. The resulting distance to $\tilde{\alpha}$ is at least the collar width. The bounds provide the second $O(\ell_\alpha^2)$ estimate. We finally consider that $p \in \mathcal{E}_{\ell_\alpha/2}$ and is contained in a cusp region or in the collar for a geodesic distinct from α . The distance $d(B(p), \tilde{\alpha})$ is at least the sum of the distance to the cusp or collar boundary and the collar width. The bounds provide the third $O(\ell_\alpha^2)$ estimate. The bounds for a short geodesic are complete.

We consider a simple geodesic β disjoint from the short geodesics. By Lemma 2.3 the geodesic β is disjoint from the cusp regions and collars about the short geodesics. The distance from a point to β is at least the distance to the cusp region or collar boundary. The bounds provide the desired $O(\ell_\beta)$ estimate. The proof is complete.

We are ready to consider continuity of the Hessian of a geodesic-length disjoint from the short geodesics. We establish continuity by estimating in terms of the lengths of short geodesics. Consider quantities in a neighborhood of a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$. We introduce the convention that on $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ the Hessian $\operatorname{Hess} \ell_\beta(\operatorname{grad} \ell_\gamma, \operatorname{grad} \ell_\eta)$ vanishes for geodesics β, γ and η not on a common component (part) of the limit.

Lemma 4.4. *The quantity $\operatorname{Hess} \ell_\beta(\operatorname{grad} \ell_\gamma, \operatorname{grad} \ell_\eta)$ is continuous in a neighborhood of a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ for geodesics β, γ and η disjoint from the short geodesics.*

Proof. We consider a sequence in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ converging to a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$. Conjugate the $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ representations to arrange that each β has the imag-

inary axis $\tilde{\beta}$ as a lift. We suppress subscripts and write Γ for a group in the sequence. For $\langle B \rangle \subset \Gamma$, the stabilizer of $\tilde{\beta}$, the corresponding fundamental domains \mathcal{F} converge. The formula $\frac{4}{\pi} \Re \int_{\mathcal{F}} \mu \dot{g}_{\zeta} dE$ for the Hessian of ℓ_{β} is presented in Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 4.3 the Beltrami differentials for $\text{grad } \ell_{\gamma}$ and $\text{grad } \ell_{\eta}$ are uniformly bounded in L^{∞} . Provided the Beltrami differentials converge pointwise then standard estimates provide that the ζ -derivatives of the deformation vector fields \dot{g} are bounded and converge in $L^1(\mathcal{F})$, [AB60, Sec. 5.3, Coro.]. In summary pointwise convergence of the gradients $\text{grad } \ell_{\gamma}$ and $\text{grad } \ell_{\eta}$ of geodesic-lengths disjoint from the short geodesics will provide the desired continuity of the Hessian.

We establish the pointwise convergence. We conjugate the $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ representations a second time to arrange that the γ have the imaginary axis as a lift. The Chabauty convergence of representations and from Lemma 2.4 the L^1 collar exterior bound $\int_{\mathcal{E}_a} |\frac{dz}{z}|^2 = 2a\ell_{\gamma}$ for the partial sum $\sum_{\{B\}} \overline{B^*(\frac{dz}{z})^2} (ds^2)^{-1}$ with $\{B(p)\} \subset \mathcal{E}_a$ provides that the $\text{grad } \ell_{\eta}$ converge pointwise. For β, γ and η on a common component of the Chabauty limit the conjugations differ by a convergent sequence in $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$. For β, γ and η on a common component the gradients converge pointwise. Next for β, γ and η not on a common component of the Chabauty limit let γ be the geodesic not on the component of β . A curve from β to γ necessarily crosses the collar of a short geodesic. The distance from β to γ is at least $\min_{\alpha \in \sigma} 2 \log 1/\ell_{\alpha}$. Equivalently in the upper half plane the total lift of β is contained in a collar exterior $\mathcal{E}_{c\ell_{\alpha}^2}$ for γ . In particular on fixed-distance neighborhoods of β the Beltrami differentials for the $\text{grad } \ell_{\gamma}$ are bounded in L^1 as $O(\ell_{\alpha}^2)$. From Lemma 2.4 the $\text{grad } \ell_{\gamma}$ converge pointwise to zero. Since the deformation vector fields \dot{g}_{ζ} are bounded in L^1 , convergence of the $\ddot{\ell}_{\beta}$ -integral to zero now follows. The proof is complete.

We bound the Hessian evaluated on the gradient of short geodesic-lengths. For the purpose of bounding \mathbb{P}_{β} we introduce eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Considerations are based on two properties of eigenfunctions. First, given ϵ positive there is a constant $c(\epsilon)$ such that for a 2-eigenfunction f on a domain in \mathbb{H} containing a metric ball $B(p; \epsilon)$ the mean value property [Fay77, Coro. 1.3] is satisfied

$$f(p) = c(\epsilon) \int_{B(p; \epsilon)} f dA.$$

The constant is evaluated by considering an initially positive radial eigenfunction k . The equation $Dk = 2k$ provides for an initial strict local minimum. The local minimum and equation $k(p) = c(\epsilon) \int_{B(p; \epsilon)} k dA$ provide

the inequality $0 < \text{Area}(B(p; \epsilon)) c(\epsilon) < 1$. Second, for $z \in \mathbb{H}$ with polar form $z = re^{i\theta}$ the increasing non negative function $u(\theta) = 1 - \theta \cot \theta$ is a 2-eigenfunction. The modification $v(\theta) = \min\{u(\theta), u(\pi - \theta)\}$ is a continuous function and a 2-eigenfunction on the complement of the imaginary axis. The initial expansion $u(\theta) = \frac{1}{3}\theta^2 + O(\theta^4)$ provides that $v(\theta)$ is comparable to $\sin^2 \theta$. In particular for the geodesic β corresponding to a deck transformation B with imaginary axis $\tilde{\beta}$ the coset sum $\mathbb{M}_\beta(p) = \sum_{C \in \langle B \rangle \backslash \Gamma} v(\theta(C(p)))$ is comparable to $\mathbb{P}_\beta(p)$. The Γ -invariant function \mathbb{M}_β satisfies the mean value property on $\mathbb{H} - \cup_{C \in \langle B \rangle \backslash \Gamma} C^{-1}(\tilde{\beta})$ (for ϵ -balls contained in the region.)

We consider \mathbb{P}_β on collars for short geodesics. A uniform bound follows from the mean value estimate Lemma 2.4. To further bound \mathbb{P}_β or equivalently \mathbb{M}_β in a collar represent the collar in \mathbb{H} with coordinate $z = re^{i\theta}$ as $\mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha} = \{1 \leq r < e^{\ell_\alpha}, \ell_\alpha \leq \theta \leq \pi - \ell_\alpha\}$. The uniform bound for \mathbb{M}_β and expansion $u = \pi/\theta$ for θ close to π combine to provide a positive constant c such that $h = c\ell_\alpha(u(\theta) + u(\pi - \theta)) - \mathbb{M}_\beta$ is positive on the boundaries $\theta = \ell_\alpha, \pi - \ell_\alpha$ of the collar.

We consider the behavior of the $\langle B \rangle$ -invariant function h in the collar. For all small ϵ an ϵ -neighborhood of the collar is disjoint from the Γ -orbit of $\tilde{\beta}$. The function h satisfies the mean value property for points of the collar. For a small ϵ the inequality $\text{Area}(B(p; \epsilon)) c(\epsilon) < 1$ excludes the possibility of $\max_{\mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha}} h$ being realized at points p for which $B(p; \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha}$. A maximum is realized only on the boundary. In conclusion $c\ell_\alpha(u(\theta) + u(\pi - \theta))$ provides a uniform upper bound for \mathbb{P}_β on the collar.

We are ready to consider the Hessian evaluated on the gradients of short geodesic-lengths.

Lemma 4.5. *The quantities $\text{Hess } \ell_\beta(V, V)$ for $V = \text{grad } \ell_\alpha$ and $J \text{grad } \ell_\alpha$ are bounded as $O(\ell_\alpha^2)$ with a remainder constant depending only on the bounds for the length of α and β .*

Proof. The Hessian by Theorem 3.3 is bounded by the WP pairing with the weight function \mathbb{P}_β . From the Cauchy Schwartz inequality and Lemma 4.3 it is enough to separately consider the contribution of the principal term $\frac{2}{\pi} \overline{(\frac{dz}{z})^2} (ds^2)^{-1}$ on the collar $\mathcal{C}_{\ell_\alpha}$ and a remainder term bounded in L^∞ by $O(\ell_\alpha^2)$. In fact since \mathbb{P}_β has bounded L^1 norm the contribution of the remainder term to $\text{Hess } \ell_\beta$ is $O(\ell_\alpha^4)$, a suitable bound.

We consider the principal term for the collar. The term for the Beltrami differential is $\frac{2}{\pi} \overline{(\frac{dz}{z})^2} (ds^2)^{-1}$. A bound for the weight function is $c\ell u(\theta)$ and

the resulting comparison integral is

$$\int_1^{e^\ell} \int_\ell^{\pi-\ell} r^2 \sin^2 \theta \ell (1 - \theta \cot \theta) \frac{|dz|^2}{|z|^4} = \ell^2 \int_\ell^{\pi-\ell} \sin^2 \theta (1 - \theta \cot \theta) d\theta = O(\ell^2).$$

The proof is complete.

We are ready to describe the WP connection in a neighborhood of a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ with the short geodesic-lengths σ and relative length basis τ . The WP metric is Kähler and consequently J is parallel.

Theorem 4.6. *The WP connection for a combined frame of gradients $\{\lambda_\alpha, J\lambda_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\alpha \in \sigma, \beta \in \tau}$ for $\alpha, \alpha' \in \sigma, \beta, \beta' \in \tau$ satisfies:*

*$D_{J\lambda_\alpha} \lambda_\alpha = 3/(2\pi\ell_\alpha^{1/2})J\lambda_\alpha + O(\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$, $D_{\lambda_{\alpha'}} \lambda_\alpha = O(\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$,
 $D_{J\lambda_{\alpha'}} \lambda_\alpha = O(\ell_\alpha(\ell_{\alpha'}^{3/2} + \ell_\alpha^{1/2}))$, for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, $D_{\text{grad } \ell_\beta} \lambda_\alpha = O(\ell_\alpha)$,
 $D_{\lambda_\alpha} \text{grad } \ell_\beta = O(\ell_\alpha^{1/2})$, $D_{J\lambda_\alpha} \text{grad } \ell_\beta = O(\ell_\alpha^{1/2})$, and $D_{\text{grad } \ell_{\beta'}} \text{grad } \ell_\beta$ is continuous at $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ with value zero if β and β' lie on distinct parts. The remainder term constants depend only on the constant for short geodesic-length.*

Proof. The expansions follow from combining the above considerations. The proof is complete.

5 Approximating WP geodesics

We show in general that a geodesic in a stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is appropriately C^1 -approximated by geodesics in the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T} . In Section 6 we use the property to approximate geodesics for punctured surfaces by geodesics for compact surfaces, and to then analyze the variation of the distance between horocycles. To understand the geodesic approximation near a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ we write the geodesic equation in terms of the frame of a combined short and relative length basis and apply the estimates of Sections 3 and 4. The approximation is presented in Theorem 5.2.

We describe the setup. The combined short and relative length basis $\{\lambda_\alpha, J\lambda_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\alpha \in \sigma, \beta \in \tau}$ provides a frame \mathcal{F} for the tangent space $T\mathcal{T}$ near a point of $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$, and the relative length basis $\{\text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\beta \in \tau}$ provides a frame for the tangent space $T\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ near the point. We denote the general element of the frame \mathcal{F} as v_κ and also write $\{v_\kappa\}$ for the frame. Considerations will involve the pairs of gradients $\lambda_\alpha, J\lambda_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \sigma$. We write $(J)\lambda_\alpha$ or $v_{(J)\alpha}$ to denote the pair. We begin considerations by expressing the tangent field of a geodesic $\gamma(t)$ in \mathcal{T} or $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ in terms of the frame $\{v_\kappa\}$ as follows

$\dot{\gamma} = (v_\kappa)^t(c_\kappa)$ for a column vector of coefficients and the frame elements (for $\gamma \subset \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ the subframe $\{v_\beta\}_{\beta \in \tau}$ locally spans $T\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ and the coefficients $c_{(J)\alpha}$ are set equal to zero.) We now have the equation

$$(\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma)) = (\langle v_\beta, \dot{\gamma} \rangle) = (\langle v_\beta, v_\kappa \rangle)(c_\kappa) \quad \text{for } \beta \in \tau \quad (3)$$

for the column vectors of derivatives and the matrix of gradient pairings. We have from the geodesic equation $D_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = 0$ and differentiating along the geodesic the equation

$$(\ddot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma)) = (\dot{\gamma} \langle v_\beta, \dot{\gamma} \rangle) = (\langle D_{\dot{\gamma}} v_\beta, \dot{\gamma} \rangle) = (c_\rho)^t (\langle D_{v_\rho} v_\beta, v_\kappa \rangle)(c_\kappa) \quad \text{for } \beta \in \tau \quad (4)$$

for the appropriate column vectors.

To relate geodesics in \mathcal{T} to geodesics in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ we introduce a coordinate projection and a coefficient projection for vectors given as a sum of frame elements. We introduce coordinates for a neighborhood of $p \in \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ as follows. Begin with a partition \mathcal{P} for the reference surface F with $\sigma \subset \mathcal{P}$. Short geodesic-lengths and Fenchel-Nielsen angles in combination with the relative length basis lengths $\{\ell_\alpha, \vartheta_\alpha, \ell_\beta\}_{\alpha \in \sigma, \beta \in \tau}$ provide coordinates for a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of p . We define locally the *coordinate projection* by associating to a point $q \in \mathcal{U}$ with coordinates $\{\ell_\alpha(q), \vartheta_\alpha(q), \ell_\beta(q)\}$ the projected point $q_\dagger \in \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ with coordinates $\{\ell_\alpha = 0, \ell_\beta(q)\}$. We define locally the *coefficient projection* by associating to the element of the span of \mathcal{F} with coefficients $(a_\kappa)_{\kappa \in \sigma \cup J\sigma \cup \tau}$ the element with coefficients $(a_\kappa)_{\kappa \in \tau}$ (the coefficients with indices in $\sigma \cup J\sigma$ are set equal to zero.) We write $(a_\kappa)_\dagger$ for the projection of an element (a_κ) of the span of \mathcal{F} and write $(\langle v_\eta, v_\kappa \rangle)_\dagger$ for the projection $(\langle v_\eta, v_\kappa \rangle)_{\eta, \kappa \in \tau}$ of the pairing matrix. We now use the projections to relate geodesics. A unit-speed geodesic $\gamma(t)$ in \mathcal{T} close to $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ has tangent field described in terms of the frame \mathcal{F} and projections as follows.

Lemma 5.1. *For a point in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ there is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ and a positive value t_0 such that a unit-speed geodesic $\gamma(t)$ with initial point in \mathcal{U} and $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = \langle J\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = 0$, $\alpha \in \sigma$, is described as follows. The root geodesic-length functions $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}$ on the geodesic satisfy for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ the inequalities $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0) \leq \ell_\alpha^{1/2}(t) \leq 2\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0)$ with the quantities $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$, $\langle J\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ bounded as $O(\ell_\alpha^{3/4})$. The derivatives of the geodesic-length functions ℓ_β along the geodesic satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma)) &= (\langle v_\beta, v_\kappa \rangle)_\dagger (c_\kappa)_\dagger + O\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} \ell_\alpha\right) \quad \text{and} \\ (\ddot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma)) &= (c_\rho)_\dagger^t (\langle D_{v_\rho} v_\beta, v_\kappa \rangle)_\dagger (c_\kappa)_\dagger + O\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} \ell_\alpha\right) \end{aligned}$$

for the tangent field expressed as $\dot{\gamma} = (v_\kappa)^t(c_\kappa)$ in terms of the frame \mathcal{F} . The value t_0 and remainder term constants depend only on the choice of the set \mathcal{U} .

Proof. The considerations are a collection of estimates. We first consider $\langle (J)\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$. From the initial vanishing of the derivative and the convexity of root geodesic-length functions it follows that $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}$ is increasing on γ for t positive. On an interval of t positive the functions $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}$ are bounded by their endpoint values. We introduce the function $F(t) = \langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle^2 + \langle J\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle^2$ and use Theorem 4.6 to find an expansion for its derivative. The principal terms combine to cancel and it follows that $\frac{dF}{dt}$ is $O(\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$ with a uniform constant provided $\ell_\alpha \leq c_0$. Since $F(0) = 0$ it follows that $F(t)$ is $O(t\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$ with a uniform constant provided $\ell \leq c_0$. Further since $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle^2 \leq F(t)$ it follows that $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(t) \leq \ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0) + O(t^{3/2}\ell_\alpha^{3/4}) \leq \ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0) + O(t^{3/2})$ with a uniform constant on any interval with $\ell_\alpha \leq c_0$. It follows for $\ell_\alpha(0)$ sufficiently small that the interval with $\ell_\alpha \leq 1$ contains a fixed initial open interval in t . (The values $\ell_\alpha, 1/\ell_\alpha$ are bounded on γ . We apply Lemma 4.2 to bound the gradients $\text{grad } \ell_\beta$ on γ , and to bound the values $\ell_\beta, 1/\ell_\beta$. It follows that the geodesic γ exists for a uniform interval in t .) It further follows that there is an initial subinterval on which the remainder term $O(t^{3/2}\ell_\alpha^{3/4})$ is explicitly bounded by $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}/2$ which results in the inequality $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(t) \leq 2\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0)$ for the subinterval. The estimates for $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}$ and $\langle (J)\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ are complete.

We proceed and bound the coefficients c_α and $c_{J\alpha}$ as $O(\ell_\alpha^{3/4})$. The tangent field $\dot{\gamma}$ has unit length. A uniform bound for the coefficients follows from the defining equation $\dot{\gamma} = (v_\kappa)^t(c_\kappa)$ and Lemma 4.2. Next from Theorem 3.1 the off-diagonal pairings $\langle v_\alpha, v_\kappa \rangle$, $\kappa \neq \alpha$, and $\langle v_{J\alpha}, v_\kappa \rangle$, $\kappa \neq J\alpha$, are bounded at least as $O(\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$. We combine estimates to find that $\langle v_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \langle v_\alpha, v_\alpha \rangle c_\alpha + O(\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$ and $\langle v_{J\alpha}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \langle v_{J\alpha}, v_{J\alpha} \rangle c_{J\alpha} + O(\ell_\alpha^{3/2})$ with uniform remainder constants. The desired bounds for c_α and $c_{J\alpha}$ now follow from the bounds for $\langle (J)\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ and Theorem 3.1. The coefficient estimates are complete.

We proceed and bound the derivatives of ℓ_β along γ . We consider (3) and gather terms with κ in $\sigma \cup J\sigma$ to form a remainder term. Theorem 3.1 provides for the first expansion with a uniform remainder constant. We consider (4) and gather terms with at least one of ρ or κ in $\sigma \cup J\sigma$ to form a remainder term. Lemma 4.5 and the estimates for $c_{(J)\alpha}$ provide for the second expansion with a uniform remainder constant. The proof is complete.

We consider with Lemma 5.1 a unit-speed geodesic $\gamma_0(t)$ contained in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ with \mathcal{U} a suitable neighborhood in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of the initial point and t_0 a suit-

able value. Given δ positive we consider an approximating geodesic $\gamma(t)$ contained in \mathcal{T} with initial point in \mathcal{U} , with $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = \langle J\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = 0$, for $\alpha \in \sigma$, and with $|\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma(0)) - \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0(0))| < \delta$, for $\beta \in \tau$. In general we write $(\ell_\beta(\gamma), \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma))$ and $(\ell_\beta(\gamma_0), \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0))$ to denote the column vectors of values and first derivatives along the geodesics. We combine the above considerations to derive integral-equations for the vectors $(\ell_\beta(\gamma), \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma))$ and $(\ell_\beta(\gamma_0), \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0))$ for the relative length basis. We consider preliminaries. The coordinate projection satisfies $\ell_\beta(\gamma_\dagger(t)) = \ell_\beta(\gamma(t))$ for $\beta \in \tau$ and consequently differentiation in t commutes with the coordinate projection. We write for the relative length basis

$$P_\dagger = (\langle v_\eta, v_\kappa \rangle)_\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad A = P_\dagger^{-1} (\langle D_{v_\rho} v_\eta, v_\kappa \rangle)_\dagger P_\dagger^{-1}$$

for the symmetric matrix of gradient pairings and the conjugated matrix of covariant derivatives. By Lemma 4.4 the covariant derivatives $\langle D_{v_\rho} v_\eta, v_\kappa \rangle$ for $\rho, \eta, \kappa \in \tau$ are continuous in a neighborhood of the stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$. We can further select the neighborhood \mathcal{U} to arrange that the intersection $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$. It then follows from Lemma 4.4 that $A(\gamma) = A(\gamma_\dagger) + o(1)$ with a uniform remainder, in particular the matrix A evaluated on γ and on the coordinate projection γ_\dagger differ by a quantity uniformly bounded along γ and γ_\dagger . We conclude from Lemmas 4.2 and 5.1 for a suitable neighborhood \mathcal{U} that for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$

$$(\ddot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma)) = (\dot{\ell}_\rho(\gamma))^t A(\gamma_\dagger) (\dot{\ell}_\kappa(\gamma)) + o(1)$$

for $\rho, \kappa \in \tau$ and on integration conclude that

$$(\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma(t))) = (\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma(0))) + \int_0^t ((\dot{\ell}_\rho(\gamma))^t A(\gamma_\dagger) (\dot{\ell}_\kappa(\gamma)))(s) ds + o(1). \quad (5)$$

The geodesic γ_0 is contained in the stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ with the local coordinates (ℓ_β) for which an exact relation is satisfied for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$

$$(\ddot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0)) = (\dot{\ell}_\rho(\gamma_0))^t A(\gamma_0) (\dot{\ell}_\kappa(\gamma_0))$$

for $\rho, \kappa \in \tau$ and on integration conclude that

$$(\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0(t))) = (\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0(0))) + \int_0^t ((\dot{\ell}_\rho(\gamma_0))^t A(\gamma_0) (\dot{\ell}_\kappa(\gamma_0)))(s) ds. \quad (6)$$

We are ready to describe the C^1 -approximation of the geodesic γ_0 by the geodesic γ in terms of the short geodesics σ and the relative length basis τ .

Theorem 5.2. *For a point p in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ and ϵ positive there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{U} in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, and positive values δ, t_0 as follows. For a unit-speed geodesic γ_0 contained in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ with $\gamma_0(0) = p$ then a geodesic γ with $\gamma(0)$ in \mathcal{U} , with $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = \langle J\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma}(0) \rangle = 0$, $\alpha \in \sigma$, and with $\|(\dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma(0)) - \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0(0)))\| < \delta$ approximates as follows. The geodesics γ, γ_0 exist on the interval $-t_0 \leq t \leq t_0$. The root geodesic-length functions $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}$, $\alpha \in \sigma$, on $\gamma(t)$ for $-t_0 \leq t \leq t_0$ satisfy the inequalities $\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0) \leq \ell_\alpha^{1/2}(t) \leq 2\ell_\alpha^{1/2}(0)$ with the quantities $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$, $\langle J\lambda_\alpha, \dot{\gamma} \rangle$ bounded as $O(\ell_\alpha^{3/4})$. The relative length basis functions for $-t_0 \leq t \leq t_0$ satisfy the inequality $\|(\ell_\beta(\gamma), \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma)) - (\ell_\beta(\gamma_0), \dot{\ell}_\beta(\gamma_0))\| < \epsilon$. The remainder term constant depends only on the choice of p and ϵ .*

Proof. The bounds for the short geodesic-lengths and the existence interval for the geodesics are provided in Lemma 5.1. We now refer to the integral equations (5) and (6) to apply the basic inequality for first-order differential equations. Observations are in order. For the integral equations (5) and (6) the matrix-valued function A is evaluated on $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$, since the coordinate projection γ_\dagger lies in and γ_0 is given in $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$. The WP metric on $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is smooth and the intersection $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ is given above as relatively compact. The function $f(\ell_\beta, \dot{\ell}_\beta) = (\dot{\ell}_\beta)^t A(\ell_\beta)$ of the values $(\ell_\beta, \dot{\ell}_\beta)$ is uniformly Lipschitz on $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$. We can apply Theorem 2.1 of [CL55] to obtain the desired bounds for the relative length basis. The proof is complete.

6 Convexity of the distance between horocycles

We show for a pair of cusps on a hyperbolic Riemann surface that the distance between horocycles is a WP strictly convex function on the Teichmüller space. The convexity is presented as an application of the convexity of geodesic-length functions [Wol87, Wol56], and the approximation of geodesics in a stratum described in Theorem 5.2. To this purpose for a hyperbolic geodesic ω_0 between cusps p, q for a surface R_0 we introduce the double across the cusps $R_0 \cup_{p,q} \overline{R_0}$ and open the cusps p, q (considered as nodes) to obtain an approximating surface R with an approximating closed geodesic ω . The closed geodesic ω consists of segments in the thick regions of R and segments crossing the collars $\mathcal{C}(p)$ and $\mathcal{C}(q)$. The length $\ell_\omega - 2w(p) - 2w(q)$ of ω with twice the collar widths subtracted approximates twice the distance $2\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ between horocycles. We use the description of distance in terms of closed geodesics and collar widths to establish the convexity in Theorem 6.1.

We describe the setup. Consider a surface R_0 with a collection of cusps \mathcal{N} . For the conjugate complex structure $\overline{R_0}$ consider the union $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$

as a Riemann surface with nodes where the elements of \mathcal{N} on R_0 and $\overline{R_0}$ are formally paired to form nodes. Introduce the reference topological surface F with the simplex σ of the complex of curves $C(F)$ and a reference homeomorphism from $F - \cup_{\alpha \in \sigma} \alpha$ to $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ with the elements of σ corresponding to the cusp-pairs of \mathcal{N} . The Riemann surface with nodes $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ represents a point of the stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ for the augmented Teichmüller space $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(F)$ of hyperbolic structures for F .

The identity map for the underlying topological surface R_0 induces an anti conformal reflection v_0 of $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$. The mapping v_0 corresponds to an orientation reversing self homeomorphism v of F with $v \circ v = id$ and with v fixing the elements of σ . The mapping v determines an involutive element of the extended mapping class group. The mapping acts on $\mathcal{T}(F)$ as an anti biholomorphic WP isometry. Following Definition 4.1, there is a local frame for the tangent bundle adapted to the action of v . Accordingly introduce a relative length basis τ for $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ with the elements of τ permuted by v . The elements of τ are disjoint from the elements of σ . The gradients $\{\lambda_\alpha, J\lambda_\alpha, \text{grad } \ell_\beta\}_{\alpha \in \sigma, \beta \in \tau}$ provide a local frame for $T\mathcal{T}(F)$ in a neighborhood of $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$. The mapping v fixes the elements of σ and permutes the elements of τ . The mapping acts on the local frame as follows $dv(\lambda_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha$, $dv(J\lambda_\alpha) = -J\lambda_\alpha$ and $dv(\text{grad } \ell_\beta) = \text{grad } \ell_{v(\beta)}$.

The fixed point locus in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(F)$ of the involution v is a totally geodesic locus. The locus parameterizes marked structures with v realized as a reflection (such marked hyperbolic structures for F are in bijection to marked hyperbolic structures with geodesic boundary for the quotient $F/\langle v \rangle$.) Accordingly for the WP geodesic equation, initial conditions invariant by v determine a geodesic parameterizing marked structures with v realized as a reflection.

Now the considerations for Theorem 6.1 begin with a geodesic γ_{00} in the Teichmüller space of R_0 with the geodesic containing a point representing R_0 . Introduce the geodesic γ_0 in the product Teichmüller space of $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ given as $\gamma_{00} \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{\gamma_{00}}$. For γ_{00} describing a family of Riemann surfaces \mathcal{R} with the collection of cusps \mathcal{N} on fibers, the geodesic γ_0 describes the family of Riemann surfaces $(\mathcal{R}, \overline{\mathcal{R}})$ with the cusps \mathcal{N} on fibers of \mathcal{R} and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ formally paired. The geodesic γ_0 is contained in the stratum $\mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ and is fixed by the action of v . On γ_0 the geodesic-lengths for the relative length basis τ satisfy $\ell_\beta(\gamma_0) = \ell_{v(\beta)}(\gamma_0) = \ell_\beta(v(\gamma_0))$ and consequently for the tangent field of the geodesic $d\ell_\beta(\dot{\gamma}_0) = d\ell_\beta(dv(\dot{\gamma}_0))$. Now from Theorem 5.2 there is a neighborhood of the point $p_0 = R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(F)$ and the geodesic γ_0 is approximated by geodesics of $\mathcal{T}(F)$ with approximating geodesics containing

base points in the neighborhood. The approximation is now specialized to specify geodesics γ with base points q_0 in the neighborhood with the geodesics fixed by the action of v . First, a base point q_0 is selected on the fixed point locus of v (the locus is given by equations $\ell_{v(\beta)} = \ell_\beta$, $\beta \in \tau$ and appropriate values of the Fenchel-Nielsen angles ϑ_α , $\alpha \in \sigma$.) Second, the tangent \mathbf{t} to γ at q_0 is specified by the equations $d\ell_\beta(\mathbf{t}) = d\ell_\beta(\mathbf{t}_0)$, $\beta \in \tau$, for \mathbf{t}_0 the tangent to γ_0 at p_0 and the equations $\langle \lambda_\alpha, \mathbf{t} \rangle = \langle J\lambda_\alpha, \mathbf{t} \rangle = 0$, $\alpha \in \sigma$. The initial conditions for γ are invariant by the action of v . Third, the base point q_0 is close to p_0 provided for $\beta \in \tau$ and $\alpha \in \sigma$ the values $(\ell_\beta(q_0), \ell_\alpha(q_0))$ are close to the values $(\ell_\beta(p_0), 0)$. Theorem 5.2 now provides for approximating geodesics γ fixed by the action of v and close to γ_0 .

Geodesics between cusps on the hyperbolic surface R_0 are approximated by closed geodesics on the approximating surfaces. Distance between horocycles on R_0 is approximated by the length of geodesic segments in the complement of the σ collars on the approximating surfaces. The association between geodesics and segments is as follows. A geodesic between cusps with a choice of appropriate horocycles about the cusps determines a homotopy class of an arc connecting the horocycles with endpoints relative to the horocycles. The homotopy class does not depend on the choice of horocycles since cusp neighborhoods are fibered by horocycles. In particular a geodesic between cusps on R_0 determines a geodesic and a homotopy class relative to horocycles on each component of $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$. The reference homeomorphism from $F - \cup_{\alpha \in \sigma} \alpha$ to $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ provides for a pair of homotopy classes relative to σ on F and so provides for a pair of homotopy classes relative to the short geodesics σ on a marked surface R of $\mathcal{T}(F)$ close to $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$. A homotopy class relative to the short geodesics σ on a marked surface R contains a unique length minimizing representative orthogonal to σ . For marked surfaces with v realized as a reflection, the length minimizing representatives of the pair of homotopy classes are interchanged by the reflection and σ is pointwise fixed by the reflection. It follows that the length minimizing representatives combine to form a smooth closed geodesic on marked surfaces R with reflection v and close to $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$.

The thin regions on marked surfaces R consist of any cusps not in \mathcal{N} and the collars about α , $\alpha \in \sigma$. The thin region of $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ consists of cusps (in \mathcal{N} and not in \mathcal{N} .) The Chabauty topology for $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(F)$ provides that the geometry of the thick region of R is close to the geometry of the thick region of $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$. The closed geodesic described above consists of a segment on the thick region of R_0 , a segment on the thick region of $\overline{R_0}$ and two segments crossing σ collars. The segments on the thick regions are interchanged by the reflection v and the segments in the collars are stabilized by the reflection

v . The segments in the collars are orthogonal to the collar core geodesics and so are orthogonal to the collar meridians. It follows that the length of a segment in a collar is exactly twice the width of the collar. For R with reflection v and close to $R_0 \cup_{\mathcal{N}} \overline{R_0}$ the length of a segment of the closed geodesic in the thick region is close to the length of the geodesic segment connecting horocycles on R_0 . In summary the length of the closed geodesic with twice the collar widths subtracted is close to twice the length of the geodesic segment connecting horocycles.

Theorem 6.1. *For a marked surface R_0 with a hyperbolic geodesic ω_0 between cusps and a choice of horocycle length at most 2, the distance $\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ along ω_0 between associated horocycles is a WP strictly convex function. The quantity $\text{Hess } \tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ is bounded below in terms of the WP pairing and a positive constant depending only on a positive lower bound for the geodesic-lengths for R_0 .*

Proof. As above, for ω_0 a hyperbolic geodesic between not necessarily distinct cusps p, q introduce the double across cusps $R_0 \cup_{p,q} \overline{R_0}$. Following Theorem 5.2, consider γ_0 a geodesic containing $R_0 \cup_{p,q} \overline{R_0}$ and γ a geodesic in the corresponding Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(F)$ with γ close to γ_0 , γ fixed by the action of the involution v , and the surfaces parameterized by γ with approximating closed geodesics ω . Following Theorem 2.1, consider the collars $\mathcal{C}(p), \mathcal{C}(q)$ about the short geodesics (the opened nodes) for the surfaces parameterized by γ . The Chabauty topology provides for γ close to γ_0 that the geometry of corresponding thick regions of surfaces are close. In particular the function $\ell_\omega - 2w(p) - 2w(q)$ along γ is close to the function $2\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ along γ_0 . From Section 2 the collar width is given as $w(\alpha) = \log 4/\ell_\alpha + O(\ell_\alpha^2)$ and the function $2\ell_\omega - 2\log 4/\ell_{\alpha_p} - 2\log 4/\ell_{\alpha_q}$ is also close to the function $2\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$.

We consider the behavior of $\log \ell_\alpha$ along γ . The second derivative is $(\ddot{\ell}_\alpha \ell_\alpha - \dot{\ell}_\alpha \dot{\ell}_\alpha) \ell_\alpha^{-2}$. Theorem 3.4 provides the expansion $2\ell_\alpha \ddot{\ell}_\alpha = (\dot{\ell}_\alpha)^2 + 3(\ell_\alpha \circ J)^2 + O(\ell_\alpha^3 \|U\|^2)$ and consequently the second derivative of $\log \ell_\alpha$ is given as $-2\ell_\alpha^{-1} \langle \lambda_\alpha, U \rangle^2 + 6\ell_\alpha^{-1} \langle J\lambda_\alpha, U \rangle^2 + O(\ell_\alpha \|U\|^2)$. From Theorem 5.2 the second derivative of $\log \ell_\alpha$ along γ is bounded as $O(\ell_\alpha^{1/2} \|U\|^2)$. In particular for γ close to γ_0 the collar width is a shallow function along γ with second derivative close to zero.

We consider a lower bound for the weight function \mathbb{P}_ω of Section 2. Consider a marked surface R with reflection v and close to $R_0 \cup_{p,q} \overline{R_0}$. Introduce the reduced surface R_{red} defined as the complement in R of the unit area horoball neighborhoods of the cusps. The reduced surface R_{red} is the union of thick regions, each containing a segment of ω , each region with geometry close to a thick region of $R_0 \cup_{p,q} \overline{R_0}$ and the collars $\mathcal{C}(p), \mathcal{C}(q)$,

each crossed by a segment of ω . By elementary considerations the diameter of a thick region is bounded above in terms of a positive lower bound for the geodesic-lengths for R_0 . The weight function is a sum of inverse square exponential distances to ω and so is bounded below on a thick region in terms of the diameter and is bounded below on a collar in terms of the maximal meridian length 2. In summary \mathbb{P}_ω is bounded below on R_{red} in terms of a positive lower bound for the geodesic-lengths for R_0 . Following Theorem 3.3 for μ a harmonic Beltrami differential, we have $\int_{R_{red}} \mu \bar{\mu} dA \leq c \text{Hess } \ell_\omega[\mu, \mu]$ for a constant depending on a positive lower bound for the geodesic-lengths for R_0 . At the end of Section 3.3 of [Wol56] it is shown that the integral of $\mu \bar{\mu}$ over an area 1 horoball is bounded above in terms of a universal constant and the integral over the complement of the area 1 horoball in the area 2 horoball. In particular the integral $\int_{R_{red}} \mu \bar{\mu} dA$ is bounded below in terms of a universal positive constant and the WP pairing. The pairing for the tangent field of γ converges to the pairing for γ_0 . In summary the convexity of ℓ_ω along γ is bounded below by a positive multiple of the WP pairing.

In conclusion the function $\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ along γ_0 is a uniform limit of uniformly convex functions and so is strictly convex. Finally for a cusp region the distance between given length horocycles is universal and so a change of choice of horocycle affects $\tilde{\ell}_{\omega_0}$ by adding a constant. The proof is complete.

References

- [AB60] Lars Ahlfors and Lipman Bers. Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metrics. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 72:385–404, 1960.
- [Abi77] William Abikoff. Degenerating families of Riemann surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 105(1):29–44, 1977.
- [Abi80] William Abikoff. *The real analytic theory of Teichmüller space*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [Ahl61] Lars V. Ahlfors. Some remarks on Teichmüller's space of Riemann surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 74:171–191, 1961.
- [Ber74] Lipman Bers. Spaces of degenerating Riemann surfaces. In *Discontinuous groups and Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1973)*, pages 43–55. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 79. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974.

- [Bro03] Jeffrey F. Brock. The Weil-Petersson metric and volumes of 3-dimensional hyperbolic convex cores. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(3):495–535 (electronic), 2003.
- [Bus92] Peter Buser. *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, volume 106 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.
- [Cha50] Claude Chabauty. Limite d’ensembles et géométrie des nombres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 78:143–151, 1950.
- [CL55] Earl A. Coddington and Norman Levinson. *Theory of ordinary differential equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [DW03] Georgios Daskalopoulos and Richard Wentworth. Classification of Weil-Petersson isometries. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125(4):941–975, 2003.
- [Fay77] John D. Fay. Fourier coefficients of the resolvent for a Fuchsian group. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 293/294:143–203, 1977.
- [Gar75] Frederick P. Gardiner. Schiffer’s interior variation and quasiconformal mapping. *Duke Math. J.*, 42:371–380, 1975.
- [Har74] William Harvey. Chabauty spaces of discrete groups. In *Discontinuous groups and Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1973)*, pages 239–246. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 79. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974.
- [Huaar] Zheng Huang. On asymptotic Weil-Petersson geometry of Teichmüller Space of Riemann surfaces. *Asian J. Math.*, to appear.
- [IT92] Y. Iwayoshi and M. Taniguchi. *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1992. Translated and revised from the Japanese by the authors.
- [Mas76] Howard Masur. Extension of the Weil-Petersson metric to the boundary of Teichmüller space. *Duke Math. J.*, 43(3):623–635, 1976.
- [MM00] H. A. Masur and Y. N. Minsky. Geometry of the complex of curves. II. Hierarchical structure. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(4):902–974, 2000.

- [Mon07] Gabriele Mondello. Triangulated Riemann surfaces with boundary and the Weil-Petersson Poisson structure. preprint, 2007.
- [MP07] Greg McShane and R. C. Penner. Stable curves and screens on fatgraphs. preprint, 2007.
- [Nag88] Subhashis Nag. *The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1988. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [O’N83] Barrett O’Neill. *Semi-Riemannian geometry*. Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1983. With applications to relativity.
- [Pen87] R. C. Penner. The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 113(2):299–339, 1987.
- [Pen04] R. C. Penner. Cell decomposition and compactification of Riemann’s moduli space in decorated Teichmüller theory. In *Woods Hole mathematics*, volume 34 of *Ser. Knots Everything*, pages 263–301. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2004.
- [Tro92] Anthony J. Tromba. *Teichmüller theory in Riemannian geometry*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. Lecture notes prepared by Jochen Denzler.
- [Wol56] Scott A. Wolpert. Behavior of geodesic-length functions on Teichmüller space. Arxiv:math/0701556.
- [Wol82] Scott A. Wolpert. The Fenchel-Nielsen deformation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(3):501–528, 1982.
- [Wol87] Scott A. Wolpert. Geodesic length functions and the Nielsen problem. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25(2):275–296, 1987.
- [Wol90] Scott A. Wolpert. The hyperbolic metric and the geometry of the universal curve. *J. Differential Geom.*, 31(2):417–472, 1990.
- [Wol92] Scott A. Wolpert. Spectral limits for hyperbolic surfaces. I, II. *Invent. Math.*, 108(1):67–89, 91–129, 1992.
- [Wol03] Scott A. Wolpert. Geometry of the Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller space. In *Surveys in Differential Geometry VIII: Papers in Honor of Calabi, Lawson, Siu and Uhlenbeck*, pages 357–393. Intl. Press, Cambridge, MA, 2003.

- [Yam01] Sumio Yamada. Weil-Petersson Completion of Teichmüller Spaces and Mapping Class Group Actions. preprint, 2001.